

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331 Telephone: (626) 458-5100 http://dpw.lacounty.gov

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: P.O. BOX 1460 ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

December 13, 2011

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

ADOPTED

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

22 December 13, 2011

SACHI A. HAMAI
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

REVIEW OF FUND ACCOUNTINGS AND STATUS OF UNEXPENDED FUNDS FOR BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICTS, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY DRAINAGE AREA, AND AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AND TRAFFIC SIGNALS (ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

This action is to review the fund accountings for the Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee Districts, the Antelope Valley Drainage Area, and various Ad Hoc Development fees for road improvements and traffic signals and to make the findings required by California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1) with respect to these funds.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

- 1. Review the fund accountings for the Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee Districts, the Antelope Valley Drainage Area, and the Ad Hoc Development fees for road improvements and traffic signals as required by Section 66006(b) of the California Government Code.
- 2. Adopt resolutions making the findings specified in California Government Code Section 66001(d) (1) with respect to the Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District funds, the Antelope Valley Drainage Area Fund, and certain Ad Hoc Development Fee funds for road improvements and traffic signals.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The County of Los Angeles (County) imposes fees on developers to defray the costs of public improvements necessary to serve the development and/or mitigate the impacts from the proposed development. These fees are deposited and maintained in separate funds and may only be expended for the specific public improvement for which they were collected.

The purpose of the recommended action is to review the status of the various Bridge and Major Thoroughfare (B&T) Construction Fee District (District) funds, the Antelope Valley Drainage Area Fund, and the funds for various Ad Hoc Development fees, as required by California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1); and to make certain findings, as required by Government Code Section 66001(d)(1), regarding the unexpended portions of those funds, as appropriate.

Enclosure 1 describes the general account information for each fund for Fiscal Year 2010-11, as specified in California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1), including the beginning and ending balance and revenues and expenditures.

The enclosed resolutions contain the findings specified in California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1) with respect to the funds for which the findings are justified.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

The Countywide Strategic Plan directs the provision of Operational Effectiveness (Goal 1) and Community and Municipal Services (Goal 3). Developer fees provide sufficient funds to continue constructing County roads, bridges, and drainage improvements in an effective manner and provide traffic mitigation and flood protection that improves the quality of life for residents of the County.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There will be no impact to the County General Fund, and no change to any of the applicable fees is proposed at this time.

Pursuant to Section 66006(a) of the California Government Code, the County has created a separate fund for each B&T District, the Antelope Valley Drainage Area, and various Ad Hoc Development fees. There will be no County budgeting adjustments required to finance the proposed flood, highway, and bridge improvements.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The County imposes fees on developers in connection with the County's approval of specific development projects to defray the costs of public improvements necessary to serve the occupants of those projects and/or mitigate the traffic, drainage, and other impacts resulting from those projects.

Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee Districts

Pursuant to Section 66484 of the California Government Code and Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, the County established the Bouquet Canyon, Route 126, Lyons Avenue/McBean Parkway, Castaic, and Valencia B&T Districts in the Santa Clarita Valley and the Lost Hills Road/Las Virgenes Road B&T District in the Calabasas area. The B&T Districts levy fees on new developments to defray the cost to construct highway and bridge improvements. The particular highway and bridge improvements within each B&T District are described in a B&T District report, which was prepared for each District and previously filed with the Board of Supervisors

(Board) concurrently with the establishment or update of each District.

The Department of Public Works (Public Works) has conducted a review of each of these B&T Districts to determine the status of the improvements and development within the District. The results of Public Works' review of each of the B&T Districts are summarized in Enclosures 2A-2F.

Public Works' review also included a determination as to whether or not any circumstances have changed since the most recent B&T District Report that would warrant deleting any of the planned (but not yet constructed) improvements from any of the Districts. Public Works has determined that no such deletions are warranted and that each of the planned (but not yet constructed) improvements within each of the B&T Districts are still necessary for the purposes described in the most recent B&T District Report.

The Antelope Valley Drainage Area

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 66483 and Section 21.32.400 of the Los Angeles County Code, your Board adopted and established the Antelope Valley Drainage Area (AVDA). New developments within the boundaries of the AVDA are levied a fee to defray the costs of drainage improvements needed in the Antelope Valley. The particular drainage improvements within the AVDA are described in the Final Report on the Antelope Valley Comprehensive Plan of Flood Control and Water Conservation (AV Report), dated June 1987 and adopted by your Board on June 23, 1987. The AVDA was amended in October 2006 as described in the Amendment to Antelope Valley Final Report on the Comprehensive Plan of Flood Control and Water Conservation (AV Amendment).

Public Works has conducted a review of the AVDA to determine the status of the improvements and development within the AVDA. The results of Public Works' review are summarized in Enclosure 3.

Public Works' review also included a determination as to whether or not any circumstances have changed since the AV Amendment that would warrant deleting any of the planned (but not yet constructed) improvements from the AV Report. Public Works has determined that no such deletions are warranted and that each of the planned (but not yet constructed) improvements identified in the AV Report are still necessary for the purposes described in the AV Report and AV Amendment.

Ad Hoc Road Improvements and Traffic Signals

The County also imposes fees on specific development projects, on an Ad Hoc basis, to defray the costs of roadway improvements and traffic signal improvements that are not included in a B&T District. The particular improvements for which Ad Hoc fees have been collected are described in the enclosed Ad Hoc Development Fees-Road Improvements and Ad Hoc Development Fees-Traffic Signals Resolutions.

Public Works has conducted a review of the various Ad Hoc fees to determine the status of the improvements and the surrounding developments. The results of Public Works' review are summarized on the attachments in the enclosed Resolutions.

Public Works' review also included the determination of whether or not any circumstances have changed since the imposition of the various fees that would warrant cancelling any of the planned (but not yet constructed) improvements.

Public Works has determined that the Ad Hoc improvements identified on Attachment A in the enclosed Ad Hoc Development Fees-Road Improvements and Ad Hoc Development Fees-Traffic Signals Resolutions have not been completed and are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts resulting from the projects on which the fees were imposed.

Mitigation Fee Act Reporting

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 66006(a), the fees described above must be deposited in a separate capital facilities account or fund in a manner to avoid any commingling of the fees with other revenues and funds of the local agency.

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1), the County is required to make certain information available to the public for each of these accounts or funds on an annual basis. In compliance with this requirement, the information contained in Enclosure 1 has been posted on Public Works' website at http://dpw.lacounty.gov/ldd/index.cfm?p=ldd_procbonds and also has been available at Public Works' Headquarters for inspection since November 10, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 66006(b)(2) of the California Government Code, your Board must review this information at a public meeting not sooner than 15 days after the information is made available to the public. In addition, notice of the time and place of the meeting, including the address where this information may be reviewed, shall be mailed, at least 15 days prior to the meeting, to any interested party who files a written request with the local agency for mailed notice of the meeting; however, no such written request has been filed.

Additionally, California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1) provides that a local agency must make the following findings with respect to the unexpended portion of each fund for the fifth fiscal year following the initial deposit into each such fund and every five years thereafter:

- (A) Identification of the purpose to which the fee is to be put.
- (B) Demonstration of a reasonable relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged.
- (C) Identification of all sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing on incomplete improvements.
- (D) Designation of the approximate dates on which the funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the appropriate account or fund.

If these findings cannot be made as to the unexpended portion of any given fund, Section 66001(d) (2) requires the local agency to refund the moneys in the fund, and any accrued interest, to the then current record owner or owners of the lots or units, as identified on the last equalized assessment roll, of the development project or projects on a prorated basis.

Public Works has determined that the required findings are appropriate with respect to the funds described in the enclosed resolutions for the reasons described above.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

The recommended actions are not a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because they constitute activities that are excluded from the definition of a project by Section 15378 (b) of the CEQA guidelines. The recommended actions are statutorily required fiscal activities that do not involve any commitment to a specific project, which may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

There will be no adverse impact on current services or projects since this is a routine annual reporting to provide the accounting information for the subject funds to the public.

CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this letter and one copy of the fund accounting information to the Department of Public Works, Land Development Division.

Respectfully submitted,

L'aie Farher

GAIL FARBER

Director

GF:AEN:la

Enclosures

c: Chief Executive Office County Counsel Executive Office

ENCLOSURE 1

ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: Varies

	֡֝֜֝֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֓֓֓֓֓֜֜֜֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֜֜֓֓֓֡֓֜֜֡֓֓֡֓֜֜֡֓֡֡֡֓֜֜֡֓֡֓֜֜֡֓֡֡֡֡֓֜֡֓֡֡֡֡֡֡		
_	ADD KEVENOE	* 01011010	ENDING
FUND EQUITY (FE	Fees Collected + Interest)	DEDUCTIONS	FUND EQUITY
\$1,437,526	\$71,169	(\$130,000)	\$1,378,695

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees.
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified.
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans.
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation.
- the Department of Public Works Headquarters building (located on 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra) * A comprehensive spreadsheet, detailing all ad hoc road improvement funds, is available for inspection at and may be viewed online at http://dpw.lacounty.gov/ldd/index.cfm?p=ldd_procbonds.

ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

Name of Specific Public (1) Am		
	rmount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement Includi	iding Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
Improv	rovement that was Funded with Fees	
See Attached	See Attached	n/a

Rate of Interest on Loan	n/a
Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	n/a
Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	n/a
(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan	n/a

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation	Allocation was Paid	or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

,	1 C	Ļ	Department	Date of the	Fees	Fund to be
Loaco	5	2	Receipt No.	Receipt	Collected	Reimbursed
Florence Avenue			DR 472972	4/7/08	\$130,000.00	Road Fund

Addendum 1 Road Improvement Ad Hoc

	200	É	Department	Date of the	Fees	Fund to be
Jan Caracter and C		2	Receipt No.	Receipt	Collected	Reimbursed
11 Florence Avenue			DR 472972	4/7/08	\$130,000.00	Road Fund

TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: Varies

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees.
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified.
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans.
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation.
- the Department of Public Works Headquarters building (located on 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra) * A comprehensive spreadsheet, detailing all ad hoc traffic improvement funds, is available for inspection at and may be reviewed online at http://dpw.lacounty.gov/ldd/index.cfm?p=ldd_procbonds.

TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

(2) Construction Start Date	n/a
(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement, Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the Improvement that was Funded with Fees	See Attached
Name of Specific Public Improvement	See Attached

ADDENDUM 3

(3) Description of Interfund	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Loan Will Rate of Interest on Loan	Rate of Interest on Loan
Transfer or Loan	Which Transferred or Loaned be Repaid Fees Will be Expended	be Repaid	
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

(4) Description of Refund or Public Improvement on	c Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund or
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation	Allocation was Paid	Allocation
was Paid	Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Addendum 1 Traffic Signal Ad Hoc

:	Project	Location 1 of Intersection A	Intersection	Fiscal Receipt	Original Amount	Post Date	Fund to be Reimbursed	
1	TR 52439	The Old Road	Lake Hughes Road	DR 320390	\$826.03	\$826.03 07/20/1998	Road Fund	
2	TR 52440	The Old Road	Lake Hughes Road	DR 341872	\$1,180.05	\$1,180.05 04/14/1999	Road Fund	
8	TR 52441	The Old Road	Lake Hughes Road	DR 331730	\$826.03	12/21/1998	Road Fund	
4	TR 52442	The Old Road	Lake Hughes Road	DR 346335	\$1,475.06	\$1,475.06 06/07/1999	Road Fund	
5	TR 45546-01	Lincoln Avenue	Altadena Drive	DR 411179	\$130,000.00	09/27/2001	Road Fund	
9	PM 26903	Avenue N	20th Street West	DR 477946	\$5,670.00	03/10/2005	Road Fund	

BOUQUET CANYON BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND CN8 SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: \$ 16,590 per Factored Development Unit

BEGINNING FILIND FOLITY	ADD REVENUE (Fees Collected + Interest)	DEDUCTIONS	ENDING ELIND EOLITY
\$12,896,012	\$167,760	(\$1,731)	\$13,062,041

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

BOUQUET CANYON BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND CN8 ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

Name of Specific Public	(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement	Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
	Improvement that was Funded with Fees	
District Administration	\$1,731.00	n/a

	_
Rate of Interest on Loan	n/a
Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	n/a
Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	n/a
(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan	n/a

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or Allocation	Public Improvement on Allocation Which Refument on Allocation was Paid	Date on Which Refund or Allocation was Paid	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund Allocation was Paid or Allocation
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

CASTAIC BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND CP9 SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: \$ 18,000 per Factored Development Unit

BEGINNING FUND EQUITY	(Fees Collected + Interest)	DEDUCTIONS	ENDING FUND EQUITY
\$3,484,472	\$45,204	(\$26,623)	\$3,503,053

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
 - 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

CASTAIC BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND CP9 ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

100110011001		
Name of Specific Public	(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement	Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
	Improvement that was funded with rees	
Hasley Canyon Road-Interstate	\$26 B23 10%	7002 †3112117
5 Interchange	%50,029 10,78	Adgust 2007
Total	\$26,623	

n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Rate of Interest on Loan	Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation Allocation was Paid	Allocation was Paid	or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

LOST HILLS ROAD/LAS VIRGENES ROAD BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND CN6 SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: \$3,179 per Factored Development Unit

BEGINNING FUND EQUITY	(Fees Collected + Interest)	DEDUCTIONS	ENDING FUND EQUITY
\$3,000	\$36	0\$	\$3,036

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
 - 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

LOST HILLS ROAD/LAS VIRGENES ROAD BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND CN6 ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

Name of Specific Public	(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement	Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
	Improvement that was Funded with Fees	
n/a	n/a	n/a

Rate of Interest on Loan	n/a
Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	n/a
Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	n/a
(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan	n/a

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation	Allocation was Paid	or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

LYONS AVENUE/McBEAN PARKWAY BRIDGE BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND V50 SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: \$2,700 per Factored Development Unit

BEGINNING	ADD REVENUE	DEDUCTIONS	ENDING
FUND EQUITY	(Fees Collected + Interest)		FUND EQUITY
\$169,732	\$2,208	0\$	\$171,940

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

LYONS AVENUE/McBEAN PARKWAY BRIDGE BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND V50 ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

Name of Specific Public (1) Amount of Expenditure on Eac Improvement Improvement that was Funded wit		
lncl lmp n/a	Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
dml	uding Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
	rovement that was Funded with Fees	
	n/a	n/a

Rate of Interest on Loan	n/a
Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	n/a
Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	n/a
(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan	n/a

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation was Paid		or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

ROUTE 126 BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND B10 SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: \$ 17,170 per Factored Development Unit

ENDING FUND EQUITY	\$10,054,215
DEDUCTIONS	(\$12,742)
ADD REVENUE (Fees Collected + Interest)	\$1,496,348
BEGINNING FUND EQUITY	\$8,570,609

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

ROUTE 126 BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND B10 ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

Name of Specific Public	(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement	Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the	,
	Improvement that was Funded with Fees	
Lost Canyon Road	\$5,096 100%	June 2002
Canyon Park Boulevard	\$3,822 100%	June 2002
Northbound Exit @ SR-14 & Via Princessa	\$2,548 100%	June 2002
Lost Canyon Road & Via Princessa	\$1,276 100%	June 2002
Total	\$12,742	

(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan	Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	Rate of Interest on Loan
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation Allocation was Paid	Allocation was Paid	or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

VALENCIA BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND V51 SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: \$21,030 per Factored Development Unit

BEGINNING	ADD REVENUE	DEDUCTIONS	ENDING
FUND EQUITY	(Fees Collected + Interest)		FUND EQUITY
\$4,105,065	\$53,193	(\$36,231)	\$4,122,027

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

VALENCIA BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE FEE - FUND V51 ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2

Name of Specific Public	(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement	Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
	Improvement that was Funded with Fees	
Magic Mountain Parkway/I-5	\$36.234 10%	April 2007
Interchange Phase 2	9/00; 102;000	7007 III W
Total	\$36,231	

Rate of Interest on Loan	n/a
Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	n/a
Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	n/a
(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan	n/a

ADDENDUM 4			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation	Allocation was Paid	or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

ANTELOPE VALLEY DRAINAGE FEE DISTRICT SUMMARY OF FUND EQUITY BY FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Fee Amount: Varies

>	
ENDING FUND EQUITY	\$827,135
DEDUCTIONS	(\$21,938)
ADD REVENUE (Fees Collected + Interest)	\$10,685
BEGINNING FUND EQUITY	\$838,388

- 1) Amount of expenditure on each improvement, including total percentage of the cost of the improvement that was funded with fees
- 2) If the County has determined that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an improvement, a construction start date shall be identified
- 3) Descriptions of interfund transfers or loans
- 4) Descriptions of refund or allocation

ANTELOPE VALLEY DRAINAGE FEE DISTRICT ADDENDUMS 2010-11

ADDENDUMS 1 AND 2		
Name of Specific Public	(1) Amount of Expenditure on Each Improvement,	(2) Construction Start Date
Improvement	Including Total Percentage of the Cost of the	
	Improvement that was Funded with Fees	
Area-wide Studies	\$21,938	n/a
Total	\$21,938	

ADDENDUM 3

n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Rate of Interest on Loan	Date on Which Loan Will be Repaid	Public Improvement on Which Transferred or Loaned Fees Will be Expended	(3) Description of Interfund Transfer or Loan

ל ואוסטרולטטר אוסטרואסטרו			
(4) Description of Refund or	Public Improvement on	Date on Which Refund or	Date on Which Refund or Rate of Interest on Refund
Allocation	Which Refund or Allocation	Allocation was Paid	or Allocation
	was Paid		
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

ENCLOSURE 2A

BOUQUET CANYON BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (B&T) CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

BOUQUET CANYON B&T DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS INCOMPLETE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

	LINKS	
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
B-0100 B-0100B B-0101	Golden Valley Road: from Southerly District Boundary to Plum Canyon Road	\$9,685,200
B-0200 B-0200B B-0201 to B-0208 B-0208B B-0209 B-0209B B-0210 to B-0212	Bouquet Canyon Road: from Soledad Canyon Road to Northerly District Boundary	\$26,792,830
B-0400 B-0400B B-0401	Santa Clarita Parkway: from Southerly District Boundary to Bouquet Canyon Road	\$16,904,490
B-0500 to B-0508	Whites Canyon Road: from Plum Canyon Road to Soledad	\$8,517,890
B-0600 B-0600B B-0601 B-0601B	Vasquez Canyon Road: from Bouquet Canyon Road to Easterly District Boundary	\$6.543.670
B-0800 to B-0804	Seco Canyon Road: from Bouquet Canyon Road to Copper Hill Drive	\$113,600
B-0900 B-0900B B-0901	Haskell Canyon Road: from Bouquet Canyon Road to Copper Hill Drive	\$132,700
B-1000 B-1001 B-1001B	Copper Hill Drive: from Seco Canyon Road to Bouquet Canyon Road	\$6,044,880
B-1100 B-1101 B-1102	Plum Canyon Road: from Bouquet Canyon Road to Whites Canyon Road	\$1,902,340

Subtotal: \$76,637,600

INTERSECTIONS		
INTER.#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
BI-1	Newhall Ranch Road and Santa Clarita Parkway	\$264,190
BI-2	Newhall Ranch Road and Golden Valley Road	\$322,500
BI-4	Bouquet Canyon Road and Seco Canyon Road	\$248,580
BI-5	Bouquet Canyon Road and Santa Clarita Parkway	\$175,700
BI-10	Bouquet Canyon Road and Plum Road	\$197,120
BI-11	Bouquet Canyon Road Copper Hill Drive	\$154,800
BI-13	Plum Canyon Road and Golden Valley Road	\$154,800
BI-14	Plum Canyon road and Whites Canyon Road	\$154,800
BI-29	Bouquet Canyon Road and Vasquez Canyon Road	\$154,800

Subtotal: \$1,827,290

DISTRICT SHARE OF REGIONAL IMPROVEMENTS			
INTER- CHANGE #	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED COST TO DISTRICT	
VP-0307R	Lyons Avenue and San Fernando Road	\$1,424,940	
VP-0401R	Santa Clarita Parkway and Soledad Canyon Road	\$7,282,870	
VP-0500R	Wiley Canyon Road/Via Princessa and San Fernando Road	\$2,672,910	
VP-0811R	San Fernando Road and SR-14	\$43,070	
VP-0900R	Calgrove and I-5	\$271,820	
VP-1500R	Magic Mountain Parkway and San Fernando Road	\$4,104,330	
VP-0501R	Parker Road and I-5	\$137,830	
C-0700R	Lake Hughes and I-5	\$54,550	
E-0100R	Golden Valley Road and SR-14	\$571,970	
E-0505R	Via Princessa and SR-14	\$196,970	
E-1100R	Placerita Canyon and SR-14	\$286,340	
C-0300	Hasley Road And I-5	\$0	

Subtotal:

\$17,047,600

Total of All Improvements:

\$95,512,490

Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011	\$15,306,833
No. of Factored Development Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	1,075
Remaining No. of Factored Development Units in B&T District	7,613
Estimated Revenue from Remaining Factored Development Units	\$126,299,670

Estimate of When Remaining Factored Development Units Will Be Developed:

Based on historical growth rates in the Santa Clarita Valley, it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 20 to 30 years.

ENCLOSURE 2B

CASTAIC BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (B&T) CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

CASTAIC B&T DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS INCOMPLETE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

LINKS			
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST	
C4	Castaic Road: North from Parker Road to shopping center	\$177,603	
C5	Castaic Road: Northbound in front of shopping center	\$62,246	
C6	Castaic Road: North from shopping center to Lake Hughes Road	\$316,094	
D1	Del Valle Road: From Hasley Canyon Road to District boundary	\$147,607	
H2	Hillcrest Parkway: East of Sloan Canyon Road 430 feet	\$723,933	
HC2	Hasley Canyon Road: East of Del Valle Road to District boundary	\$101,280	
НС-В	Hasley Canyon Road: Bridge over Haley Canyon Wah at Del Valle Road	\$5,400,000	
НС3	Haley Canyon Road: West from Del Valle Road to Sloan Canyon Road	\$419,613	
L2	Lake Hughes Road: East of Castaic Road 500 feet	\$155,968	
L3	Lake Hughes Road: East from Ridge Route Road 1450 feet	\$451,058	
L4	Lake Hughes Road: East from Ridge Route Road to Bridge	\$623,330	
L6	Lake Hughes Road: Northbound to District boundary	\$1,281,000	
P1	Parker Road: West of Castaic Road to Bridge	\$402,549	
P3	Parker Road: From the I-5 Bridge to The Old Road	\$548,750	
P4	Parker Road: West from The Old Road 860 feet	\$130,348	
R1	Ridge Route Road North from Castaic Road 820 feet	\$56,876	
R2	Ridge Route Road: Northbound 823 feet from Castaic Road for 675 feet	\$13,835	
R3	Ridge Route Road: South of Lake Hughes Road 1020 feet	\$115,931	

	LINKS		
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST	
R5	Ridge Route Road: Northbound from 150 feet past Pine Crest Place	\$14,241,686	
S1-B	Sloan Canyon Road: Bridge from Haley Canyon Road toward Hillcrest Parkway (dirt)	\$7,783,536	
S2	Sloan Canyon Road: From Bridge to Hillcrest Parkway (dirt)	\$3,856,475	
S4	Sloan Canyon Road: From Parker Road East to The Old Road	\$1,080,180	
T1	The Old Road: From Hillcrest Parkway 1200 feet to the south	\$32,739	
T2	The Old Road: North from Hillcrest Parkway to Villa Canyon	\$1,258,231	
Т3	The Old Road: North from Villa Canyon Road to 1020 feet South of Parker	\$15,537,274	
T4	The Old Road: South from Parker Road 1020 feet	\$2,536,293	
T5	The Old Road: North from Parker Road to Johnson Lane	\$5,496,348	
T6	The Old Road: North from Johnson Lane to Sloan Canyon road	\$1,303,078	
T7	The Old Road: From Sloan Canyon to Royal Road	\$280,590	
Т8	The Old Road: From Royal Road to Pinto Place	\$8,919	
Т9	The Old Road: North from Pinto Place to Victoria Road	\$140,240	

Subtotal: \$64,683,713

INTERSECTIONS		
INTER.#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
CI-2	The Old Road and Parker Road	\$397,795
CI-3	The Old Road and Sloan Canyon Road/Lake Hughes Road	\$397,795
CI-6	Castaic Road and Ridge Route Road	\$397,795
CI-7	Sloan Canyon Road and Hasley Canyon Road	\$306,444
CI-8	Sloan Canyon Road and Hillcrest Parkway	\$306,444

INTERSECTIONS		
INTER.#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
CI-9	Sloan Canyon Road and Parker Road	\$306,444
CI-10	Del Valle and Hasley Canyon Road	\$306,444
CI-11	Ridge Route Road and Lake Hughes Road	\$306,444

Subtotal: \$2,816,956

INTERCHANGES		
INTER- CHANGE#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	DISTRICT COST
CI-12R	Parker Road over I-5 (include P-2 bridge)	\$43,265,880
CI-13R	Lake Hughes under I-5 (includes L-1)	\$43,265,880
VI-1R	Hasley Canyon Road and I-5 (under construction; credit has not yet been issued)	\$12,335,200*

Subtotal: \$98,866,960

Total of All Improvements: \$166,367,629

Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011	\$3,926,161
No. of Factored Development Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	81
Remaining No. of Factored Development Units in B&T District	7,794
Estimated Revenue from Remaining Factored Development Units	\$140,292,000

Estimate of When Remaining Factored Development Units Will Be Developed:

Based on historical growth rates in the Santa Clarita Valley, it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 20 to 30 years.

*Amount remaining to pay developer. District is responsible for a total of 34 percent of the project cost. This District already contributed \$2,600,000 (6 percent) for the construction of the project. This District still owed Developer \$12,335,200 (28 percent) for the construction of the project. A total of \$30,838,000 of credit is still owed to developer. This District is responsible for 40 percent of credit owed (\$12,335,200) to developer. The unadopted Westside B&T District is responsible for 60 percent of credit owed (\$18,502,800) to Developer.

ENCLOSURE 2C

LOST HILLS ROAD – LAS VIRGENES ROAD BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (B&T) CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

LOST HILLS ROAD - LAS VIRGENES ROAD B7T DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS	
INCOMPLETE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011	
Lost Hills Road/Hwy 101 Improvements and Roundabout	\$ 6,000,000
2. Modern Roundabout on Lost Hills Road/Cold Spring Street	\$ 146,000
Total of All Improvements:	\$ 6,146,000
Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011 \$4,032,2	
No. of Equivalent Dwelling Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	125
Remaining No. of Equivalent Dwelling Units in B&T District	780

Estimate of When Remaining Equivalent Dwelling Units Will Be Developed

Estimated Revenue from Remaining Equivalent Development Units

Based on the buildout period on the City of Calabasas General Land Use Plan (2030) and County's Ventura Freeway Corridor Area Wide Plan (2030), it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 20 years.

\$ 2,479,620

ENCLOSURE 2D

LYONS AVENUE – MCBEAN PARKWAY BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (B&T) CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

LYONS AVENUE - MCBEAN PARKWAY B&T DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS INCOMPLETE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

All of the improvements identified in the Lyons Avenue – McBean Parkway B&T Construction Fee District Report, dated April 30, 1998 and filed with the Board on May 12, 1998, were completed, as of June 30, 2011. These improvements were completed, in part, with funds advanced by private entities. These funds were advanced on the condition that they be reimbursed from the fees collected by the B&T District

Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011	\$7,153,514
No. of Factored Development Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	635
Remaining No. of Factored Development Units in B&T District	1,202
Estimated Revenue from Remaining Factored Development Units	\$3,245,400

Estimate of When Remaining Factored Development Units Will Be Developed:

Based on historical growth rates in the Santa Clarita Valley, it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 20 to 30 years.

ENCLOSURE 2E

ROUTE 126 BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (B&T) CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

EASTSIDE B&T DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS INCOMPLETE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

LINKS		
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
E-0101 E-0103 E-0104	Golden Valley Road – SR-14 to the northerly District boundary	\$9,556,245
E-0202	Whites Canyon Road – From District boundary to Vasquez Canyon Road	\$5,545,380
E-0300 E-0301	Vasquez Canyon Road – District Boundary to Sierra Highway	\$21,876,580
E-0402	Santa Clarita Parkway – District Boundary t Sierra Highway	\$1,270,380
E-0501 to E-0508	Via Princessa Road – Northerly boundary of Golden Valley Ranch to the westerly District boundary	\$25,928,390
E-0600 to E-0620, E- 0620B	Soledad Canyon Road – Westerly District boundary to the easterly District boundary	\$9,263,790
E-0700 to E-0705 E-0708 to E-0715 E-0715B E-0716 E-0717	Sierra Highway – Southerly District boundary to the northerly District boundary	\$19,795,060
E-0800 E-0801	Davenport Road - Sierra Highway to the easterly District boundary	\$8,809,160
E-0900 to E-0902 E-0902B	Shadow Pines Blvd – Soledad Canyon Road to Davenport Road	\$13,243,320
E-1001 E-1002 E-1002B E-1003 E-1003B E-1004 to E-1006	Sand Canyon Road – Soledad Canyon Road to easterly District boundary and Soledad Canyon Road to Sierra Highway	\$32,028,670

LINKS			
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST	
E-1200 E-1200B E-1201 E-1202	Lost Canyon Road – Via Princessa to Sand Canyon Road	\$21,807,390	
E-1300	Canyon Park Boulevard – Sierra Highway to Lost Canyon Road	\$345,200	

Subtotal: \$169,469,565

INTERSECTIONS		
INTER.#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
EI-5	Soledad Canyon Road and Whites Canyon Road	\$1,215,500
El-9	Soledad Canyon Road and Sierra Highway	\$624,170
EI-19	Soledad Canyon Road and Shadow Pines Boulevard	\$771,150
El-29	Sierra Highway and Vasquez Canyon Road	\$709,500
EI-30	Sierra Highway and Davenport Road	\$709,500
EI-31	Sierra Highway and Golden Valley Road	\$154,800
EI-33	Via Princessa and Golden Valley Road	\$180,600
EI-38	Whites Canyon Road and Vasquez Canyon Road	\$154,800
EI-39	Davenport Road and Shadow Pines Boulevard	\$154,800
EI-41	Sand Canyon Road and Lost Canyon Road	\$923,640
EI-42	Sand Canyon Road and Placerita Canyon Road	\$154,800
EI-49	Via Princessa and Lost Canyon Road	\$154.800
EI-50	Lost Canyon Road and Canyon Park	\$154.800
EI-54	Lost Canyon Road and Jakes Way	\$154,800

Subtotal: \$5,609,910

	DISTRICT SHARE OF REGIONAL IMPROVE	MENTS
INTER- CHANGE #	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED COST TO DISTRICT
VP-0307R	Lyons Avenue and San Fernando Road	\$2,284,440
VP-0401R	Santa Clarita Parkway and Soledad Canyon Road	\$6,733,910
VP-0500R	Wiley Canyon Road/Via Princessa and San Fernando Road	\$3,108,710
VP-0811R	San Fernando Road and SR-14	\$254,510
VP-0900R	Calgrove and I-5	\$713,520
VP-1500R	Magic Mountain Parkway and San Fernando Road	\$3,752,530
VP-0501R	Parker Road and I-5	\$181,350
C-0501R	Lake Hughes and I-5	\$54,550
C-0700R	Golden Valley Road and SR-14	\$1,431,830
E-0104R	Via Princessa and SR-14	\$3,364,010
E-1100R	Placerita Canyon and SR-14	\$954,480
C-0300	Hasley Road And I-5	\$0

Subtotal:

\$22,833,840

Total of All Improvements: \$197,913,315

Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011	\$27,249,388
No. of Factored Development Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	1,848
Remaining No. of Factored Development Units in B&T District	12,390
Estimated Revenue from Remaining Factored Development Units	\$212,736,300

Estimate of When Remaining Factored Development Units Will Be Developed:

Based on historical growth rates in the Santa Clarita Valley, it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 20 to 30 years.

ENCLOSURE 2F

VALENCIA BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (B&T) CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

VALENCIA B&T DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS INCOMPLETE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

	LINKS	
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
42 (complete)	McBean: Newhall Ranch to Decoro (Improvement complete – credit has not yet been issued)	\$383,019
43/43A (complete)	McBean: Decoro to Copper Hill (6 lanes) Improvement complete – credit has not yet been issued	\$181,806
44	McBean: Copper Hill to 1500' north (4 lanes)	\$1,235,549
45	McBean Parkway Bridge widening over Santa Clara River (Estimated 1 million outside funding)	\$4,850,026
50 (complete)	Newhall Ranch Road: I-5 to Vanderbilt Way - Link complete Any further improvement to be completed with Cross Valley Connector. Actual cost for complete improvements shown here.	\$1,891,874
51, 51A & Portion 51B (complete)	Newhall Ranch Road: Rye Canyon Business Park Frontage (Improvement complete – credit has not yet been issued)	\$1,600,000
53	Newhall Ranch Road: Dickason to McBean (6 to 8 lanes)	\$1,122,713
53A	Newhall Ranch Road Bridge over San Francisquito Creek (6 to 8 lanes) Phase II	\$7,771,687
63	Rye Canyon Road: I-5 to Avenue Stanford	\$1,459,089
65 (complete)	Copper Hill: Newhall Ranch Rd. to Decoro (6 lanes) Improvement complete-credit has not yet been issued	\$126,243
67	Copper Hill: McBean to E'ly District bdry. (6 lanes)	\$280,039
67A	Copper Hill: E'ly District bdry. to Seco Canyon Road (4 to 6 lanes)	\$1,368,198

	LINKS		
LINK#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJE	CT COST
70 (complete)	Copper Hill to Dickason -10' sidewalk along frontage of Tr. 52667 (Improvement complete – credit has not yet been issued)		\$26,020
89	Magic Mountain: Tourney Rd. to McBean –construct 8 lanes from Edison R/W to I-5 (50% funding match)		\$4,407,391
89A	Magic Mountain: McBean to Valencia Phase I & II		\$1,758,039
125	San Francisquito Canyon Road – Misc. Improvements for Limited Secondary highway - Allowance		\$960,750
97	Valencia Bl: I-5 to McBean shift median to north & restripe 8the lane)		\$3,106,623
194A	Copper Hill Road Bridge over San Francisquito Creek Phase II (4 to 6 lane deck)		\$1,669,727
		Subtotal:	\$34,198,793

	INTERSECTIONS	
INTER.#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
33	Magic Mountain and Tourney	\$58,676
39	Copper Hill and Decoro	\$39,855
43	McBean and Copper Hill	\$1,029,546
41B (complete)	McBean and Decoro – (75% of improvement costs as outlined by the initial district cost estimate have been reimbursed. Remaining credit has not yet issued).	\$514,000
47	Newhall Ranch Road and Bouquet	\$629,263
55	Valencia and Bouquet	\$1,576,572
56	McBean and Magic Mountain	\$854,005
57	Valencia and Magic Mountain	\$2,272,377
59	Valencia and McBean	\$291,008
85	Rye Canyon Road and Avenue Stanford	\$375,071
108 (complete)	Decoro and Sunny Creek – (75% of improvement costs as outlined by the initial district cost estimate have been	\$53,996

	INTERSECTIONS	
INTER.#	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
	reimbursed. Remaining credit has not yet issued).	
115	McBean and Skycrest	\$341,428
116	McBean and Summerhill	\$341,428
226	San Francisquito Canyon and future Tesoro entrance	\$264,374
227	McBean Parkway and Orchard Village Road – intersection improvement	\$2,205,000
231	Magic Mountain and Citrus	\$112,924
233	Newhall Ranch Road at Home Depot Driveway	\$79,711
234	Rye Canyon Road and The Old Road	\$199,274

Subtotal: \$11,238,508

	INTERCHANGES	
INTER- CHANGE #	IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COST
7 (complete)	SR 126/I-5 – Improvement complete – (remaining reimbursable costs).	\$993,271
None	McBean/I-5 – add 2nd southbound left turn lane	\$89,641
		0.11.1.1.04.000.040

Subtotal: \$1,082,912

Total of All Improvements:

\$46,520,213

Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011	\$4,483,022
No. of Factored Development Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	605
Remaining No. of Factored Development Units in B&T District	2,212
Estimated Revenue from Remaining Factored Development Units	\$46,518,360

Estimate of When Remaining Factored Development Units Will Be Developed: Based on historical growth rates in the Santa Clarita Valley, it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 20 to 30 years.

ENCLOSURE 3

ANTELOPE VALLEY DRAINAGE AREA

ANTELOPE VALLEY DRAINAGE AREA IMPROVEMENTS COMPLETED AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

All of the improvements identified in the Antelope Valley Comprehensive Plan of Flood Control and Water Conservation, dated June 1987, to be located within the unincorporated territory of the County of Los Angeles, remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011.

Amount of Fees Collected as of June 30, 2011	\$1,580,832.84
No. of Development Fee Units Developed as of June 30, 2011	790
Remaining No. of Development Fee Units in Drainage Area	83,578
Estimated Revenue from Remaining Development Fee Units	\$521,275,986

Estimate of When Remaining Development Fee Units Will Be Developed:

Based on historical growth rates in the Antelope Valley, it is estimated that all the parcels subject to the Fee will be developed within the next 75 to 100 years.

RESOLUTIONS

BOUQUET CANYON BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Bouquet Canyon Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District (DISTRICT), as described in the Bouquet Canyon Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District Report (DISTRICT REPORT) dated May 2002 and filed with this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) on June, 25, 2002; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT REPORT describes various bridge and roadway improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this BOARD previously determined were necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts anticipated to be caused by future development in the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the DISTRICT, pursuant to Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS: and

WHEREAS, a number of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of financing the construction (including design, right-of-way acquisition, and contract administration) of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that remain incomplete, or to reimburse public or private entities for the costs incurred by those entities for the construction of those improvements.
- A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those FEES were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that have not yet been constructed are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts caused by the development projects on which the FEES were imposed, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are necessary to finance the future construction of those improvements.

- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the incomplete PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DISTRICT REPORT. Of the 8,688 total factored development units identified in the DISTRICT REPORT, 7,613 of these units remain undeveloped, representing \$126,299,670 of fee revenues that the COUNTY still expects to be collected in connection with future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 20 to 30 years as development of the parcels subject to the FEES occurs.

// // // // 11 // 11 // // 11 // // // 11 11 // // // // 11 // // // 11 // 11 // // // // // // // // // // // // //



SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

Ву

Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

CASTAIC BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Castaic Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District (DISTRICT) as described in the Castaic Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District Update Report (DISTRICT REPORT) dated June 2009 and filed with this Board on August 4, 2009; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT REPORT describes various bridge and roadway improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) previously determined were necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts anticipated to be caused by future development in the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the DISTRICT, pursuant to Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS; and

WHEREAS, a number of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of financing the construction (including design, right-of-way acquisition, and contract administration) of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that remain incomplete, or to reimburse public or private entities for the costs incurred by those entities for the construction of those improvements.
- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those FEES were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that have not yet been constructed are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts caused by the development projects on which the FEES were imposed, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are necessary to finance the future construction of these improvements.

- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the incomplete PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DISTRICT REPORT. Of the 7,875 total factored development units identified in the DISTRICT REPORT, 7,794 of these units remain undeveloped, representing \$140,292,000 of fee revenues that the COUNTY still expects to be collected in connection with future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 20 to 30 years as development of the parcels subject to the FEES occurs.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted on the 13^{+n} day of 10^{-1} da



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Denuty

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

LOST HILLS ROAD-LAS VIRGENES ROAD BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Lost Hills Road–Las Virgenes Road Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District (DISTRICT) as described in the Lost Hills Road–Las Virgenes Road Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District Report (DISTRICT REPORT) dated February 2, 2004, and filed with this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) on January 25, 2005; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT REPORT describes various bridge and roadway improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this BOARD previously determined were necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts anticipated to be caused by future development in the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the DISTRICT, pursuant to Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS; and

WHEREAS, a number of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

 The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of financing the construction (including design, right-of-way acquisition, and contract administration) of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that remain incomplete, or to reimburse public or private entities for the costs incurred by those entities for the construction of those improvements.

- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those fees were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that have not yet been constructed are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts caused by the development projects on which the FEES were imposed, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are necessary to finance the future construction of these improvements.
- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the incomplete PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DISTRICT REPORT. Of the 905 total equivalent dwelling units identified in the DISTRICT REPORT, 780 of these units remain undeveloped, representing \$ 2,479,620 of fee revenues that the COUNTY still expects to collect in connection with future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 20 years as development of the parcels subject to the FEES occurs.

11 11 11 11 11 11 11 // 11 // 11 // // 11 11 II11 11 11 // 11 // // // 11 // // // // 11

Page 2 of 3



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Denuty

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

LYONS AVENUE-MC BEAN PARKWAY BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Lyons Avenue–McBean Parkway (DISTRICT), as described in the Lyons Avenue–McBean Parkway Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District Report (DISTRICT REPORT) dated April 30, 1998, and filed with this Board on May 12, 1998; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT REPORT describes various bridge and roadway improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) previously determined were necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts anticipated to be caused by future development in the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the DISTRICT, pursuant to Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS; and

WHEREAS, certain of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS have been constructed with funds advanced by private entities on the condition that the funds be reimbursed by the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of reimbursing public and private entities for sums advanced by those entities to pay the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS.
- A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those FEES were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts

caused by the development projects on which the FEES were imposed. Further, the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS have been completed, in part, with funds advanced by public and private entities, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are needed to reimburse the appropriate entities for the sums so advanced.

- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DISTRICT REPORT. Of the 1,837 total factored development units identified in the DISTRICT REPORT, 1,202 of these units remain undeveloped, representing \$ 3,245,400 of fee revenues that the COUNTY still expects to be collected in connection with future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 20 to 30 years as development of the parcels subject to the FEES occurs.

// 11 // // 11 11 11 11 11 // 11 11 // 11 // // 11 // // // 11 11 11 11 // 11 // // // // 11 11 // //

Page 2 of 3



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Ву _

Deputy

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

ROUTE 126 BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Route 126 Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District (DISTRICT), as described in the Route 126 Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District Report (DISTRICT REPORT) dated May 2002 and filed with this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) on June 25, 2002; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT REPORT describes various bridge and roadway improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this BOARD previously determined were necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts anticipated to be caused by future development in the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the DISTRICT, pursuant to Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS; and

WHEREAS, a number of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of financing the construction (including design, right-of-way acquisition, and contract administration) of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that remain incomplete, or to reimburse public or private entities for the costs incurred by those entities for the construction of those improvements.
- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those FEES were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that have not yet been constructed are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts caused by the development projects on which the FEES were imposed, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are necessary to finance the future construction of these improvements.

- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the incomplete PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DISTRICT REPORT. Of the 14,238 total factored development units identified in the DISTRICT REPORT, 12,390 of these units remain undeveloped, representing \$212,736,300 of fee revenues that the COUNTY still expects to be collected in connection with future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 20 to 30 years as development of the parcels subject to the FEES occurs.



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Deput

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

VALENCIA BRIDGE AND MAJOR THOROUGHFARE CONSTRUCTION FEE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Valencia Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District (DISTRICT), as described in the Valencia Bridge and Major Thoroughfare Construction Fee District Report (DISTRICT REPORT) dated March 2008 and filed with this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) on August 12, 2008; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT REPORT describes various bridge and roadway improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this BOARD previously determined were necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts anticipated to be caused by future development in the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the DISTRICT, pursuant to Section 21.32.200 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS; and

WHEREAS, a number of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of financing the construction (including design, right-of-way acquisition, and contract administration) of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that remain incomplete, or to reimburse public or private entities for the costs incurred by those entities for the construction of those improvements.
- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those fees were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that have not yet been constructed are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other related impacts caused by the development projects on which the FEES were imposed, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are necessary to finance the future construction of these improvements.

- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the incomplete PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DISTRICT REPORT. Of the 2,817 total factored development units identified in the DISTRICT REPORT, 2,212 of these units remain undeveloped, representing \$46,518,360 of fee revenues that the COUNTY still expects to be collected in connection with future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 20 to 30 years as development of the parcels subject to the FEES occurs.

// 11 11 11 11 // 11 11 11 11 // 11 11 11 11 11 // // 11 11 // 11 11 11 // // // // 11 // // // // 11 // // // 11 //



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Deputy

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

ANTELOPE VALLEY DRAINAGE AREA

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has established the Antelope Valley Drainage Area, as described in the Antelope Valley Comprehensive Plan of Flood Control and Water Conservation, dated June 1987 and the Amendment to Antelope Valley Final Report on the Comprehensive Plan of Flood Control and Water Conservation, approved in October 2006 (hereinafter collectively referred to as DRAINAGE PLAN); and

WHEREAS, DRAINAGE PLAN describes various drainage improvements (PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS) that this Board of Supervisors (BOARD) previously determined were necessary to provide flood protection to new subdivisions and other new development within Antelope Valley Drainage Area and/or to mitigate the drainage impacts anticipated to be caused by said development; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has imposed fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of development projects located within the Antelope Valley Drainage Area, pursuant to Section 21.32.400 of the Los Angeles County Code, to defray the costs of constructing the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS; and

WHEREAS, a number of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS remained incomplete as of June 30, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate fund (FUND) for the FEES collected; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of the FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the BOARD of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- The unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND are to be used for the purpose of financing the design and/or construction of the PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that remain incomplete, or to reimburse public or private entities for the costs incurred by those entities for the design or construction of those improvements.
- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between the unexpended FEES remaining in the FUND and the purpose for which those fees were charged. The PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS that have not yet been constructed are still necessary to provide flood protection to, and/or mitigate drainage impacts caused by, the development projects on which the FEES were imposed, and the FEES remaining in the FUND are necessary to finance the future design and construction of these improvements.

- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the incomplete PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS are described in the DRAINAGE PLAN. Of the 84,368 development fee units within the boundary of the Antelope Valley Drainage Area, 790 units have been developed, and 83,578 of these units remain outstanding/undeveloped. These undeveloped units represent \$521,275,986 of fee revenues anticipated to be collected from future development projects.
- 4. The funding referred to above is expected to be deposited into the FUND within the next 75 to 100 years as development of the parcels subject to FEES occurs.

11 // 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 // 11 // 11 11 11 11 11 // 11 // // // 11 // 11 // // 11 // // 11 // 11 // 11 // // //



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Donut

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES-ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has imposed Ad Hoc Development Fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of specific development projects on an ad hoc basis, to defray the costs of public roadway improvements necessary to mitigate the traffic and other impacts resulting from those specific projects; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate Ad Hoc Fund (FUND) for each road improvement project for which a FEE has been collected as identified in Attachment A: and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of each FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- 1. FEES remaining in each of the FUNDs identified in Attachment A are to be used for the purpose of financing the public improvements described therein.
- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between the FEES remaining in each of the FUNDs identified in Attachment A and the purposes for which those fees were charged. Each of the public improvements described in Attachment A is still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other impacts caused by the development project on which the fee was charged. Further, each of these public improvements remains incomplete and the FEES remaining in each of the FUNDs are necessary to finance the future completion of these improvements.
- The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of each of the improvements described in Attachment A are also described in Attachment A.
- 6. The approximate dates on which the funding referred to in paragraph No. 3, above, is expected to be deposited into the appropriate fund are indicated in Attachment A.

|| || || || || || ||



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Denuty

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

AD HOC DEVELOPMENT FEES-TRAFFIC SIGNALS

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles (COUNTY) has imposed Ad Hoc Development Fees (FEES) in connection with the COUNTY'S approval of specific development projects on an ad hoc basis, to defray the costs of traffic signal improvements necessary to mitigate the traffic and other impacts resulting from those specific projects; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works maintains a separate Ad Hoc Fund (FUND) for each intersection for which a FEE has been collected as identified in Attachment A; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is required to make certain findings every five years with respect to the unexpended balance of each FUND, pursuant to California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of the COUNTY hereby finds, determines, and resolves as follows:

- FEES remaining in each of the FUNDs identified in Attachment A are to be used for the purpose of financing traffic signal improvements for the intersections described therein.
- 2. A reasonable relationship exists between FEES remaining in each of the FUNDs identified in Attachment A and the purposes for which those fees were charged. The traffic signal improvements for each of the intersections identified in Attachment A are still necessary to mitigate the traffic and other impacts caused by the development project on which a fee was charged. Further, the traffic signal improvements for each of these intersections remain incomplete and the fees remaining in each of the funds are necessary to finance the future completion of these improvements.
- The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing of the traffic signal improvements for each of the intersections described in Attachment A are also described in Attachment A.
- 4. The approximate dates on which the funding referred to in paragraph no. 3, above, is expected to be deposited into the appropriate fund are indicated in Attachment A.

// // // //

//



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

Denuty

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

ATTACHMENT A

Approximate Date for Completion of Financing	12/2025	12/2025	12/2025	12/2025	12/2025
Sources of Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing	1224 Firestone Boulevard 1226 Firestone Boulevard 1222 Firestone Boulevard APN 6043-016-013 1240 Firestone Boulevard 1246 Firestone Boulevard Road Fund Contribution	1305 Florence Avenue 1309 Florence Avenue 1319 Florence Avenue 1323 Florence Avenue 1337 Florence Avenue 1337 Florence Avenue 1341 Florence Avenue 1357 Florence Avenue 1357 Florence Avenue 1417 Florence Avenue 1417 Florence Avenue 1423 Florence Avenue 1423 Florence Avenue 1431 Florence Avenue 1431 Florence Avenue 1431 Florence Avenue 1559 Florence Avenue 1557 Florence Avenue 1557 Florence Avenue 1557 Florence Avenue 1557 Florence Avenue 1558 Florence Avenue	1929 East Florence Avenue 1971 East Florence Avenue 1909 East Florence Avenue 1901 East Florence Avenue Road Fund Contribution	Road Fund + Other Tributary Developments Road Fund + Other Tributary Developments	Road Fund + Other Tribulary Developments
Amounts of Funding Anticipated to Complete	\$188,750	\$9,498,720	\$2,598,000	\$10,239.00	\$287,200
Fees Collected	\$8,250	\$16,280.00	\$27,000.00	\$3,261.00	\$42,800.00
Date of the Receipt	8/31/00	9/7/00		11/21/07	8/2/00
Department Receipt No.	DR 387478	DR 387557	DR 376496	DR 519824 DR 519825	DR 383735
Total Estimated Cost	\$197,000.00	\$9,515,000.00	\$2,625,000.00	\$13,500.00	\$50,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$50,000.00
70	Zamora Avenue	Makee Ave.		Pennsylvania Ave Foothill Boulevard	Valley Boulevard Valley Boulevard Don Julian Rd Lomitas Ave Valley Boulevard Gale Ave
From	Hooper Avenue	Hooper Ave.		Foothill Boulevard La Crescenta Ave	San Gabriel Freeway Workman Mill Rd Workman Mill Rd Workman Mill Rd Tth Av&Sunset Pomona Freeway
Project	1202 Firestone Boulevard-Alley widening for additional 5 feet 1202 Firestone Boulevard	2 Florence Avenue 1301 Florence 1301	3 East Florence Avenue-Road widening for additional 7' 1935 East Florence Avenue	4 3001 Foothill Boulevard-Intersection Improvements intersection intersection	5 14355 & 14317 Lomitas Avenue-Traffic mitigation fee for Cup 97-008 intersection

Project	From	6	Total Estimated Cost	Department Date of the Receipt No. Receipt	Date of the Receipt	Fees	Amounts of Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing	Sources of Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing	Approximate Date for Completion of Financing
6 Mulholland (TR49899-01)-Road Widening	Cornell School Road	Lake Vista Drive	\$62,106,600.00	DR 427157	7/16/02	\$256,300,00	\$62,106,600,00		12/2025
								APN 4464-004-007	77777
								APN 4464-004-002	
								APN 4464-004-012	
								APN 4464-004-020	
								APN 4464-004-019	
								APN 4464-004-018	
								APN 4464-004-017	
								APN 4464-004-021	
								APN 4464-004-022	
								APN 4464-004-014	
								APN 4464-001-021	
and the state of t								APN 4464-001-022	
-								APN 4464-001-023	
								APN 4464-001-024	
		The state of the s						APN 4464-023-028	
								APN 4464-023-029	
								APN 4464-023-030	
The second control of								APN 4464-023-031	
								Road Fund Contribution	
7 Prospect Avenue (PM26316)-Street Improvements	La Cresenta Avenue	Rosemont Avenue	\$7,700,000.00	DR 434853	12/16/02	\$5,300.00	\$7,694,700.00	\$7,694,700.00 Road Fund Contribution	12/2025
8 Stoney Creek Road (TR51644-05)-Cul-de-Sac Construction			\$25,000.00	DR 431532	10/21/02	\$18,000.00	\$7,000.00	Road Fund Contribution	12/2025
9 Vermont Avenue (at Sepulveda) (TR065157)	Sepulveda Boulevard Vermont Aver	Vermont Avenue	\$555.00	DR 522009	02/20/2008	\$555.00	\$10,000.00	Road Fund Contribution	12/2025
10 TR 45546 - Striping per condition 24-Woodbury/Lincoln East &			\$12,900.00	DR 457289	2/19/04	\$4,128.00	\$8,772.00	Road Fund Contribution	12/2025
[11](TR46714)-Removal of Retaining Wall			\$10,000.00	DR 343193	4/27/99	\$2,000.00	\$8,000.00	Road Fund Contribution	12/2025
12 Whittier Boulevard-Right Turn Pocket			\$113.837.00	DR 485189	12/5/05	\$50.316.00	\$63 521 00	CUP 200800136	12/2012
							20,000		210271

ATTACHMENT A

Traffic Signal Ad Hoc FY2010-11 Report

\$579.08 \$579.08 2,496.00 1,962.00 1,962.00 \$230.00 \$298.05 \$425.79
\$2,496.00 \$1,962.00 \$2,300.00 \$1,800.00 \$298.05 \$425.79
12/08/1998 10/07/1999 12/15/1999 04/14/1999 12/21/1998
DR 357481 10/07 DR 362919 12/14 DR 320390 07/22 DR 341872 04/14 DR 341730 12/27
Lake Hughes Road DR 3629 Lake Hughes Road DR 32033 Lake Hughes Road DR 34181 Lake Hughes Road DR 33172 Lake Hughes Pood Do 2469
1-5 North Bound Ramps

Traffic Signal Ad Hoc FY2010-11 Report

Approximate Date for Completion of	Financing	12/2025	12/2023	40,000	CZ02/Z1									12/2025	12/2025	0707	12/2025	12/2025	12/2025		12/2025					10/0005	12/2023				
Sources of Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing				Road Flind & Other Tributery Development	Silleridolega Carrella Carrell									Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments	Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments		Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments	Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments	Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments		Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments					Road Fund & Other Tributary Developments	Supplied Sup				
Amounts of Funding Anticipated to	Samplere I manema			\$265,690.21										\$292,943.62	\$282,229.79		\$295,150.00	\$261,840.00	\$287,400.00		\$26,472.41					\$295.121.65					
Original Amount	\$14 237 00	\$9.222.60		\$877.19	\$22,800.00	\$1 720.80	\$1,902,68	\$1.902.68	\$979.32	\$1,399,03	\$979.32	\$1,748.78		\$7,056.38	\$17,770.21		\$4,850.00	\$38,160.00	\$12,600.00		\$/81.40	4302.31	401.00	\$678.33	\$550.00	\$1,017.52	\$722.98	\$1,097.85	\$141.67	\$1,048.33	\$850.00
Post Date	11/11/1993	05/11/1998		04/10/2001	11/24/1999	07/30/1998	07/20/1998	06/07/1999	07/20/1998	04/14/1999	12/21/1998	06/07/1999		06/22/2008	06/22/2004		05/22/2000	12/04/2001	09/01/1998	00001000	09/23/2003	02/30/3003	09/14/2000	08/15/2002	08/15/2002	09/25/2003	09/14/2000	07/30/2003	09/14/2000	08/15/2002	08/15/2002
Fiscal Receipt	7766	DR 313363		DR 401977	DR 361297	DR 321004	DR 319183	DR 346338	DR 320390	DR 341872	DR 331730	DR 346335		DR 465007	DR 465007		DR 378680	DR 414494	DR 323863	710011	DR 387782	DR 448215	DR 387784	DR 428517	DR 428518	DR 449930	DR 387782	DR 448215	DR 387784	DR 428517	DR 428518
Intersection	Steinbeck Avenue	Steinbeck Avenue		Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road	Parker Road		Canyon Park Boulevard	Jakes Way		101 Freeway North Bound Ramps	Sloan Canyon Road	Soledad Canyon Road	14 Emoural North Dering Dames	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Bamps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway South Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway North Bound Ramps	14 Freeway South Bound Ramps
Intersection	Stevenson Ranch Pkwy (McBean Parkway)	Stevenson Ranch Pkwy (McBean Parkway)		The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road	The Old Road		Lost Canyon Koad	Lost Canyon Road		Las Virgenes Road	Hasley Canyon Road	Mammoth Lane	Santiago Road				Santiago Road							Santiago Road
Project	TR 49760	TR 49761		TR 44471	TR 46443	TR 47353	TR 47354	TR 47355	TR 52439	TR 52440	TR 52441	TR 52442	TD 45000 04	IN 45025-01	TR 45023-01	TD 45940	11K 4034Z	TR 45645	TR 45935	TR 49240	TR 49240-01	TR 49240-03	TR 49601-01	TR 49601-01	TR 49601-02	TR 49240	TR 49240-01	TR 49240-03	TR 49601-01	IR 49601-01	ITR 49601-02
				6									9	2	=	72	7	13	4	15						16					